Εθνικό Μετσόβιο Πολυτεχνείο



GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING IN THE DESIGN OF STRUCTURES:

Reinforced soil structures

Professor V.N. Georgiannou MSc, DIC, Ph.D.





 Reinforced earth _ Reinforced earthfill wall



Geotextiles: polypropelene, polyester fabric....filtering





Geomemrane liners: waterproofing



Construction of reinforced *in-situ* walls using soil nailing



existing slope is steepened e.g. motorway widening

Reinforced earthfill structures







Soil nailing

- Built on natural soil
- Built from top down reinforcing the soil in situ
- Nails under tension transfer forces deepper in the soil mass
- Conventional retaining wall withstands earth pressures
- Soil nailed wall relies on length and density of nails to to resist earth pressures by mobilising skin friction

Wall construction sequence-soil nailing



- Excavation of a shallow depth of soil in front of the wall~1-2m
- Installation of a row of soil nails
- Fill the hole with grout
- Remove surface water. A filter fabric on the ground surface before applying the shotcrete provides drainage behind facing
- Installation of reinforcing mesh and the facing
- Wall is formed top downwards by a sequence of the above steps
- Completed structure

Reinforced earthfill structures

- 1. Widening of embankments, embankments on soft foundations, bridge abutments, retaining walls
 - easy and rapid construction
 - suitable frictional backfill material required
 - non corrosive backfill material

Soil nailing

2. Reinforced in-situ soil structures. Retaining walls, excavation stability to tunnel portals and adjacent slopes, slope stabilisation of cuttings

cheap, flexible, small mobile quiet construction equipment
 soil with some natural degree of cohesion required
 dewatered excavation face-unsuited for excavation in soft clay

SOIL NAILING

 Clouterre (1991). "Soil nailing recommendations for designing, calculating, constructing and inspecting earth support systems using soil nailing".
 Ecole Nationale des Ponts et Chausseès, Paris. English translation (1993), US Department of transportation, FHWA-SA-93-026.

Construction of railway cutting in Versailles-Chantier, 1974.

slope height 22m
 excavation cutting 1.4m
 reinforcement subhorizontal 30⁰

SOIL NAILING



In soil nailing reinforcement can withstand tension and moment



grouted nail

CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE FOR REINFORCED IN-SITU WALLS USING SOIL NAILING





(or prefabricated focing panels)





 Reinforced earth _ Reinforced earthfill wall



MODES OF DEFORMATION FOR REINFOERCED EARTH AND SOIL NAILED WALL



Reinforced Earth Wall

 Reinforcing layers increasingly stressed as successive layers are placed above them (the uppermost layers of reinforcement subjected to the smallest tensile forces). Consolidation settlement also increases with depth.



As progressive layers of soil are excavated, the face of the excavation tends to move outwards from the resulting stress relief (active state). It is the upper nails that are loaded first during construction and carry the greatest tensile loads. **EXAMPLES OF REINFORCED EARTHFILL STRUCTURES**



V.N. GEORGIANNOU

OPERATION OF A REINFORCED EARTHFILL WALL



- As the height of the wall increases, vertical stress increases and shear stresses build up inside the soil mass. Then a tendency for the face to displace outwards is resisted by the reinforcing elements as frictional forces develop along them and they go into tension.
- The maximum tensile forces in the reinforcement occur within the soil mass rather than at the facing. This applies to both reinforced and nailed soil structures.
- If the points of maximum tensile force along each row of reinforcement are joined a line is formed which separates the reinforced soil mass in two regions: the *active zone* and the *passive or resistant zone.*

OPERATION OF A REINFORCED EARTHFILL WALL



 ACTIVE ZONE develops immediately behind the facing where the soil tends to move outwards exerting shear stresses along the reinforcement towards the facing
 PASSIVE ZONE

where the shear stresses exerted along the reinforcement act inwards as the soil in this zone resists movement

The stresses generated in the passive zone oppose those resulting from the outward movement in the active zone and define the position of *the line of maximum tension*

LINE OF MAXIMUM TENSION



Simplified line of maximum tension

assuming Coulomb's failure plane at an angle 45+φ/2 to the horizontal

V.N. GEORGIANNOL

STRESS AND STRAIN DISTRIBUTION ALONG REINFORCING ELEMENTS



In the active zone the soil exerts shear stresses to the reinforcement towards the wall face while in the passive zone shear stresses at the reinforcement-soil interface act towards the soil mass. This applies to both earth reinforced and nailed soil structures.





Cases 1,2 & 3 & 4 should be considered for reinforced earthfill structures while only case 4 applies to nailed soil structures



pressure distribution along base of wall, according to Meyerhof a linear load is assumed across part of the base:

$$q_r = \frac{R_v}{B} \therefore q_r = \frac{R_v}{L - 2 \times e} < q_{ult}$$





(a) Potential slip surfaces outside reinforced mass(b) Potential slip surfaces through reinforced mass

INTERNAL STABILITY CONSIDERATIONS

- Checking the stability within the reinforced mass. The stability of all block masses enclosed by the wall boundary and possible failure surfaces of all shapes should be checked at all stages of construction.
- Checking the stability of the blocks involves the additional forces provided by the reinforcing elements apart from forces on the block such as weight, pore water pressure, surcharge, forces due to soil friction and cohesion.
- Check for failure of the reinforcing elements
 - Rupture of the element (tensile capacity)
 - Soil failure due to maximum shear stress mobilised at the interface with the element (bond strength)

PULL-OUT TESTS



Pull-out test on nail

- Pull-out tests on strip elements avoided due to the effect of surrounding strips
 - Bond strength at the interface between soil and reinforcing element <u>estimated</u> by the soil shear strength parameters and the normal stress acting at the interface
 - The angle of interface friction can be obtained from shear box tests with shearing carried out on the reinforcing material

Athens, 2023

ESTIMATE OF BOND STRENGTH

assumptions:

 \Box Constant length of reinforcement L_a

□ Tensile resistance $T_{\eta} = q_s * \pi * D * L_a$

- q_s =bond shear strength
- L_a =length of reinforcement extending into the resistant zone (passive)
- D=borehole diameter

Mohr-Coulomb failure criterion: $q_s = c' + \sigma_n'' tan \phi'$

$$\sigma'_{\eta} = \sigma'_{v} = \gamma * h - u, u = r_{u} * \gamma * h \Rightarrow \qquad q_{s} = (\sigma_{v} + \sigma_{h})/2 * \tan \varphi'$$

$$r_{v} = \gamma * h * (1 - r_{u}) \tan \varphi'$$
for nails installed in boreholes where stress relief takes place around the hole \rightarrow active stress conditions apply

1 / -

INTERNAL WEDGE STABILITY CHECKS





- T = Total tensile force resisted by reinforcement elements
- N = Normal reaction
 - a) Forces to be considered



b) Various potential failure planes

INTERNAL WEDGE STABILITY CHECKS



 Simplified analysis

Calculation of the resultant tensile force required for the stability of the reinforced soil mass

Tj= tensile force resisted by layer (j) of reinforcement

CHECKS: 1. $T_j < T_D$ (tensile force<design strength=rupture strength/factor of safety) 2. $\sum_{j=1}^{m} P_i \times L_{ej} \times (c' + \sigma_{vj} \tan \phi) \ge T_{max}$

where P_i =perimeter of jth layer of reinforcement L_{ej} =length of reinforcement layer (j) in resistant zone outside failure wedge σ_{vj} =normal stress acting on the reinforcement layer (j) due to overburden pressure and any surcharge Tmax=total tensile force to be resisted by reinforcement (previous slide)

CALCULATIONS:
$$T_j = T_{pj} + T_{sj} + T_{fj} - T_{cj}$$

where T_{pj} =force due to self weight of fill plus any surcharge and bending moment from external loading on the wall T_{sj} =force induced by a vertical strip loading T_{fj} =force induced by horizontal shear force applied to a strip contact area T_{cj} =resisting force due to cohesion (negative sign in the expression)

TENSILE FORCES AT EACH LAYER OF REINFORCEMENT

Tensile force at reinforcement layer (j)



 T_{pj} : σ_{vj} vertical stress acting on jth level of reinforcement due to W (Meyerhof distribution) and Ws, and s_{vj} the vertical spacing of the reinforcements at j level T_{sj} : vertical strip loading S_L of width b (D_j factor to account for stress dispersion with depth) T_{fj} : horizontal shear force strip loading of width b (Q factor to account for distance from face) T_{cj} : resisting force due to cohesion =2 * S_{vj} * c' * \sqrt{Ka}

7.1.4.3 Vertical strip loading S_L applied to a strip contact area of width b on top of the wall, as shown in Fig 25. For the purpose of deriving the magnitude only of the tensile force T_{sj} dispersal of the vertical load S_L from the contact area on top of the wall, may be taken at a slope of 2 vertically to 1 horizontally as shown in Fig 25 (As per BS 8006:1995 page 52):

$$T_{sj} = K_{aw} S_{vj} (f_f S_L) / D_j$$

Where $D_j = (h_j + b)$ if $h_j \le (2d - b)$ = $(h_j + b)/2 + d$ if $h_j > (2d - b)$

The tensile force obtained from the equation above should be taken as not less than that derived from the bending moment caused by the vertical loading S_L alone acting on the wall treated as a rigid body.



LINE OF MAXIMUM TENSION (Tj represents max load in reinforcement)



STABILITY CHECKS



possible mechanisms of failure inside (c) and outside the reinforced mass
 limit equilibrium of forces (weight, pore pressure, external loads, normal and shear forces along the slip surface and forces in the reinforcement)

BISHOP'S METHOD



Method of slices

- 1. No friction at the (v-1) interfaces between slices
- 2. Constant factor of safety for all slices

=
$$\frac{1}{F}(c_i + \sigma_i \tan \phi_i), c_i & \phi_i$$
 Soil strength parameters at the base

 $T_i =$

BISHOP'S METHOD





$$N_{i}' = \frac{W_{i} - u_{i}\Delta x_{i} - \frac{1}{F}c_{i}\Delta x_{i}\sin\theta_{i}}{\cos\theta_{i}(1 + \frac{1}{F}\tan\theta_{i}\tan\varphi_{i})}$$

Vertical equilibrium for slice i

$$F = \frac{\Sigma(c_i \Delta l_i + N_i^{'} \tan \varphi_i)}{\Sigma W_i \sin \theta_i}$$

Moment equilibrium around the centre of circular slip surface for slice i

NAILED SLOPE



LOG-SPIRAL SLIP SURFACE. METHOD OF SLICES



Restoring moment due to nail tension

$$V_{j}R_{dj}\cos(\theta_{j}-\omega_{j})$$

Restoring moment due to shear in nail



Restoring moment due to tension in nail *j*: $T_j R_{dj} \sin (\theta_j \cdot \omega_j)$

Restoring moment due to shear in nail *j*: $V_i R_{di} \cos (\theta_j - \omega_j)$

c) Restoring moments due to tension and shear in nail j

NAIL DEFORMED ALONG FAILURE SURFACE

nails can withstand shear forces and bending moments when deformed perpendicular to their axis. Due to their thin nature reinforcing strips can only provide tensile resistance



- prerequisite: development of considerable deformations along slip surface (delineating deflection y in the schematic diagram)
- Jewell & Pedley (1990). "Soil nailing design-the role of bending stiffness", Ground Engineering, 30-36. Shear forces and bending moments increase stability by 10%.

PRELIMINARY DESIGN

Design charts of nailed soil wall for H/L=0.6 and 0.8, θ =20⁰ (after Recommandations Clouterre, 1991)

- □ for N=c/γH & tan ϕ define d=T_L/γS_hS_vL where:
 - T_L =nail pull-out strength S_h =horizontal spacing of nails S_v =vertical spacing of nails

□ for factor of safety F on soil parameters (c & tan ϕ) redefine the dimensionless density index referred to as the nailing density d=T_L/γS_hS_vL





DESIGN OF REINFORCED SLOPES USING ReActiv



HA68/94, UK Highways Agency (HA): Design methods for the reinforcement of highway slopes by reinforced soil and soil nailing techniques



A bilinear two part wedge mechanism is analysed using limit equilibrium

DESIGN OF REINFORCED SLOPES USING ReActiv



Assess overall stability for different wedge geometries and orientations looking for the most unstable condition by establishing the mechanism requiring the maximum stabilising force Tmax

| Mechanism type | Wedge 1 outcrops at | Interwedge boundary outcrops at |
|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Standard | Crest or upper slope | Lower slope |
| Narrow | Lower slope | Lower slope |
| Wide | Crest or upper slope | Upper slope |
| Extra-wide | Crest | Crest |

DESIGN OF REINFORCED SLOPES USING ReActiv







Mechanism requiring the maximum stabilising force T_{max}

Number of nail layers = T_{max} / P_{des} (pull-out resistance)

Pdes also fixes the length of the uppermost level of nails: $Le_i = P_{des}/(2a\sigma_v tan\phi_{des})$, $\sigma'_v = \gamma z_1(1-r_u)$, $z_1 = 0.5H_{des}/N^{1/2}$

Define limiting mechanisms requiring no reinforcement referred to as T₀. There is an infinite number of these mechanisms bounded by the To
 locus. The T_{0b} mechanism where the locus intersects the baseline fixes the length of the lowest nails.

FAILURE CRITERIA IN PULL-OUT TESTS



CREEP CURVES FROM CONTROLLED FORCE PULL-OUT TESTS



- creep curves linear at lower loads
- angle (α) is defined as the slope of the tangent to each curve at t=1h

RESULTS OF CREEP TESTS



- plot angle (α) versus T/T_{max}
- and determine the critical creep tension T_c as the load applied before the curve changes slope.

RESULTS OF PULL-OUT TESTS

- Characteristic limit pull-out force
 - □ Minimum 6 test (displacement or force controlled pull-out tests) to define T_L
- Characteristic unit skin friction value qs

$$\Box q_s = T_L / p^* Ls$$

- □ Where p: nail perimeter
- □ Ls: length of nail in contact with soil

 When controlled force pull-out tests do not allow determination of pull-out limit force T_L, this value can be estimated from the critical creep tension T_c

| | | $K = T_L / T_c$ |
|-----------|----------------|-----------------|
| Gravity | Sands | 1.2 |
| Injection | Clays | 1.3 |
| | Marls & Chalks | 1.3 |
| Driving | Sands | 1.4 |