Neural Networks

- What is a neural network?
- Predicting with a neural network
- Training neural networks
- Practical concerns

This lecture

- What is a neural network?
- Predicting with a neural network
- Training neural networks — Backpropagation
- Practical concerns

Training a neural network

• Given

- A network architecture (layout of neurons, their connectivity and activations)
- A dataset of labeled examples
 - $S = \{(x_i, y_i)\}$
- The goal: Learn the weights of the neural network
- *Remember*: For a fixed architecture, a neural network is a function parameterized by its weights
 - Prediction: y = NN(x, w)

Recall: Learning as loss minimization

We have a classifier NN that is completely defined by its weights Learn the weights by minimizing a loss L

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} \sum_{i} L(NN(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{w}), y_{i})$$
Perhaps with a *regularizer*

Recall: Learning as loss minimization

We have a classifier NN that is completely defined by its weights Learn the weights by minimizing a loss L

$$\min_{\boldsymbol{w}} \sum_{i} L(NN(\boldsymbol{x}_{i}, \boldsymbol{w}), y_{i})$$
Perhaps with a *regularized*

So far, we saw that this strategy worked for:

- 1. Logistic Regression Each 2. Support Vector Machines minimizes a different loss 3. Perceptron
- 4. LMS regression

function

All of these are linear models

Same idea for non-linear models too!

Back to our running example



Given an input **x**, how is the output predicted
utput
$$y = w_{01}^o + w_{11}^o z_1 + w_{21}^o z_2$$

 $z_2 = \sigma(w_{02}^h + w_{12}^h x_1 + w_{22}^h x_2)$
 $z_1 = \sigma(w_{01}^h + w_{11}^h x_1 + w_{21}^h x_2)$

Back to our running example



tput
$$y = w_{01}^o + w_{11}^o z_1 + w_{21}^o z_2$$

 $z_2 = \sigma(w_{02}^h + w_{12}^h x_1 + w_{22}^h x_2)$
 $z_1 = \sigma(w_{01}^h + w_{11}^h x_1 + w_{21}^h x_2)$

Suppose the true label for this example is a number y_i

Back to our running example

output 0 \mathcal{Y} w_{0}^{o} w_{11}^{o} W_{21}^{0} Z_2 Z_0 Z_1 W_{01}^h w_{22}^h x_0 x_1 x_2

For an input **x**, now is the output predicted
output
$$y = w_{01}^o + w_{11}^o z_1 + w_{21}^o z_2$$

$$z_2 = \sigma(w_{02}^h + w_{12}^h x_1 + w_{22}^h x_2)$$
$$z_1 = \sigma(w_{01}^h + w_{11}^h x_1 + w_{21}^h x_2)$$

. . .

Suppose the true label for this example is a number y_i

We can write the *square loss* for this example as:

$$L = \frac{1}{2}(y - y_i)^2$$

Learning as loss minimization

We have a classifier NN that is completely defined by its weights Learn the weights by minimizing a loss L

$$\min_{w} \sum_{i} L(NN(x_i, w), y_i)$$
Perhaps with a *regularizer*

How do we solve the optimization problem?

 $\min_{w} \sum_{i} L(NN(x_i, w), y_i)$

Given a training set $S = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$

- 1. Initialize parameters w
- 2. For epoch = 1 ... T:

 $\min_{w} \sum_{i} L(NN(x_i, w), y_i)$

Given a training set $S = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$

- 1. Initialize parameters w
- 2. For epoch = 1 ... T:
 - 1. Shuffle the training set

 $\min_{w} \sum_{i} L(NN(x_i, w), y_i)$

Given a training set $S = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$

- 1. Initialize parameters w
- 2. For epoch = 1 ... T:
 - 1. Shuffle the training set
 - 2. For each training example $(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) \in S$:

 $\min_{w} \sum_{i} L(NN(x_i, w), y_i)$

Given a training set $S = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$

- 1. Initialize parameters w
- 2. For epoch = 1 ... T:
 - 1. Shuffle the training set
 - 2. For each training example $(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) \in S$:
 - Treat this example as the entire dataset
 Compute the gradient of the loss \$\nabla L(NN(\$x_i\$, \$w\$)\$, \$y_i\$)\$

 $\min_{w} \sum_{i} L(NN(x_i, w), y_i)$

Given a training set $S = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$

- 1. Initialize parameters w
- 2. For epoch = 1 ... T:
 - 1. Shuffle the training set
 - 2. For each training example $(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) \in S$:
 - Treat this example as the entire dataset
 Compute the gradient of the loss \$\nabla L(NN(\$x_i\$, \$w\$)\$, \$y_i\$)\$
 - Update: $\boldsymbol{w} \leftarrow \boldsymbol{w} \gamma_t \nabla L(NN(\boldsymbol{x}_i, \boldsymbol{w}), y_i))$
- 3. Return w

 $\min_{w} \sum L(NN(x_i, w), y_i)$

Given a training set $S = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$

- 1. Initialize parameters w
- 2. For epoch = 1 ... T:
 - 1. Shuffle the training set
 - 2. For each training example $(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) \in S$:
 - Treat this example as the entire dataset
 Compute the gradient of the loss \$\nabla L(NN(\$x_i\$, \$w\$), \$y_i\$)\$
 - Update: $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} \gamma_t \nabla L(NN(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w}), y_i))$

 γ_t : learning rate, many tweaks possible

Given a training set $S = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$

- 1. Initialize parameters w
- 2. For epoch = 1 ... T:
 - 1. Shuffle the training set
 - 2. For each training example $(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) \in S$:
 - Treat this example as the entire dataset
 Compute the gradient of the loss \(\nabla L(NN(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w}), y_i)\)
 - Update: $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} \gamma_t \nabla L(NN(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w}), y_i))$

 γ_t : learning rate, many tweaks possible

3. Return w

The objective is not convex. Initialization can be important

 $\min_{w} \sum L(NN(x_i, w), y_i)$

Given a training set $S = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$

- 1. Initialize parameters w
- 2. For epoch = 1 ... T:
 - 1. Shuffle the training set
 - 2. For each training example $(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) \in S$:
 - Treat this example as the entire dataset
 Compute the gradient of the loss \(\nabla L(NN(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w}), y_i)\)

Have we solved everything?

• Update: $w \leftarrow w - \gamma_t \nabla L(NN(x_i, w), y_i))$

 γ_t : learning rate, many tweaks possible

3. Return w

 $\min_{w} \sum L(NN(x_i, w), y_i)$

The objective is not convex. Initialization can be important

The derivative of the loss function? $\nabla L(NN(x_i, w), y_i)$

If the neural network is a differentiable function, we can find the gradient

- Or maybe its sub-gradient
- This is decided by the activation functions and the loss function

It was easy for SVMs and logistic regression

- Only one layer

The derivative of the loss function? $\nabla L(NN(x_i, w), y_i)$

If the neural network is a differentiable function, we can find the gradient

- Or maybe its sub-gradient
- This is decided by the activation functions and the loss function

It was easy for SVMs and logistic regression

- Only one layer

But how do we find the sub-gradient of a more complex function?

Eg: A ~150 layer neural network for image classification!

The derivative of the loss function? $\nabla L(NN(x_i, w), y_i)$

If the neural network is a differentiable function, we can find the gradient

- Or maybe its sub-gradient
- This is decided by the activation functions and the loss function

It was easy for SVMs and logistic regression

- Only one layer

But how do we find the sub-gradient of a more complex function?

Eg: A ~150 layer neural network for image classification!

We need an efficient algorithm: Backpropagation

If we have a neural network (structure, activations and weights), we can make a prediction for an input

If we have a neural network (structure, activations and weights), we can make a prediction for an input

If we had the true label of the input, then we can define the loss for that example

If we have a neural network (structure, activations and weights), we can make a prediction for an input

If we had the true label of the input, then we can define the loss for that example

If we can take the derivative of the loss with respect to each of the weights, we can take a gradient step in SGD

If we have a neural network (structure, activations and weights), we can make a prediction for an input

If we had the true label of the input, then we can define the loss for that example

If we can take the derivative of the loss with respect to each of the weights, we can take a gradient step in SGD

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 1$$

f(x,y) = x + y

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 1$$

f(x,y) = x + y

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 1$$

f(x,y) = x + y

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 1$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = y$$

f(x,y) = xy

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = x$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 1$$
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 1$$

дy

f(x,y) = xy

f(x, y) = x + y

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = x$$

 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = y$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 1$$
 (if $x \ge y$), 0 otherwise

 $f(x,y) = \max(x,y)$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 1 \text{ (if } y \ge x), 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

f(x,y) = x + y

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = 1$$

 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial u} = 1$

Useful to keep in mind what these derivatives represent In these (and all other) cases:



Represents the rate of change of the function f with respect to a small change in x

f(x,y) = xy

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = x$$

 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = y$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 1$$
 (if $x \ge y$), 0 otherwise

 $f(x,y) = \max(x,y)$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = 1 \text{ (if } y \ge x), 0 \text{ otherwise}$$

 $f(x, y, z) = x(y^2 + z)$

This is still simple enough to manually take derivatives, but let us work through this in a slightly different way.

 $f(x, y, z) = x(y^2 + z)$

This is still simple enough to manually take derivatives, but let us work through this in a slightly different way.

Break down the function in terms of simple forms

$$g = y^2 + z$$
$$f = xg$$

 $f(x, y, z) = x(y^2 + z)$

This is still simple enough to manually take derivatives, but let us work through this in a slightly different way.

Break down the function in terms of simple forms

$$g = y^2 + z$$
$$f = xg$$

Each of these is a simple form. We know how to compute $\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}$, $\frac{\partial g}{\partial z}$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial g}$

 $f(x, y, z) = x(y^2 + z)$

This is still simple enough to manually take derivatives, but let us work through this in a slightly different way.

Break down the function in terms of simple forms

$$g = y^2 + z$$
$$f = xg$$

Each of these is a simple form. We know how to compute $\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}$, $\frac{\partial g}{\partial z}$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial g}$

Key idea: Build up derivatives of compound expressions by breaking it down into simpler pieces, and applying the **chain rule**

 $f(x, y, z) = x(y^2 + z)$

This is still simple enough to manually take derivatives, but let us work through this in a slightly different way.

Break down the function in terms of simple forms

$$g = y^2 + z$$
$$f = xg$$

Each of these is a simple form. We know how to compute $\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}$, $\frac{\partial g}{\partial z}$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$, $\frac{\partial f}{\partial g}$

Key idea: Build up derivatives of compound expressions by breaking it down into simpler pieces, and applying the **chain rule**

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial g} \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} = x \cdot 2y = 2xy$$

In terms of "computation graphs"

 $f(x, y, z) = x(y^2 + z)$


$f(x, y, z) = x(y^2 + z)$

The forward pass: Computes function values for specific inputs



 $f(x, y, z) = x(y^2 + z)$



 $f(x, y, z) = x(y^2 + z)$



 $f(x, y, z) = x(y^2 + z)$



 $f(x, y, z) = x(y^2 + z)$



 $f(x, y, z) = x(y^2 + z)$

The backward pass: Computes derivatives of each intermediate node



43

 $f(x, y, z) = x(y^2 + z)$



44

The abstraction

- Each node in the graph knows two things:
 - 1. How to compute the value of a function with respect to its inputs (forward)
 - 2. How to compute the partial derivative of its output with respect to each of its inputs (backward)
- These can be defined independently of what happens in the rest of the graph
- We can build up complicated functions using simple nodes, and compute values and partial derivatives of these as well

 $f(x, y, z) = x(y^2 + z)$



46









Commonly nodes in the networks represent not only single numbers (e.g. features, outputs) but also entire *vectors* (an array of numbers), *matrices* (a 2d array of numbers) or *tensors* (an n-dimensional array of numbers).



$$\mathbf{z} = \sigma(\mathbf{W}^h \mathbf{x})$$

Each element of \mathbf{z} is z_i , and is generated by the sigmoid activation to each element of $\mathbf{W}^h \mathbf{x}$.





Commonly nodes in the networks represent not only single numbers (e.g. features, outputs) but also entire *vectors* (an array of numbers), *matrices* (a 2d array of numbers) or *tensors* (an n-dimensional array of numbers).



 $y = \mathbf{w}^{o} \mathbf{z}$ No activation because the output is defined to be linear

$$\mathbf{z} = \sigma(\mathbf{W}^h \mathbf{x})$$

Reminder: Chain rule for derivatives

- If y is a function of \mathbf{z} and \mathbf{z} is a function of \mathbf{x}
 - Then y is a function of x, as well
- Question: how to find $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$



$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial \mathbf{z}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial \mathbf{x}}$$

Reminder: Chain rule for derivatives

- If y = a function of z_1 + a function of z_2 , and the z_i 's are functions of x
 - Then y is a function of x, as well
- Question: how to find $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$



$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial y}{\partial z_1} \cdot \frac{\partial z_1}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial y}{\partial z_2} \cdot \frac{\partial 2}{\partial x}$$

Reminder: Chain rule for derivatives

- If y = sum of functions of x
 - Then y is a function of x, as well
- Question: how to find $\frac{\partial y}{\partial x}$



$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial y}{\partial z_i} \cdot \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial x}$$

Backpropagation



Backpropagation



Important: L is a differentiable function of all the weights

Backpropagation

Applying the chain rule to compute the gradient (And remembering partial computations along the way to speed up things)



$$L = \frac{1}{2}(y - y^*)^2$$

if $y = w_{01}^o + w_{11}^o z_1 + w_{21}^o z_2$
$$z_2 = \sigma(w_{02}^h + w_{12}^h x_1 + w_{22}^h x_2)$$

$$z_1 = \sigma(w_{01}^h + w_{11}^h x_1 + w_{21}^h x_2)$$

we want to compute $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_{ij}^o}$ and $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_{ij}^h}$

Important: *L* is a differentiable function of all the weights

Output layer

$$L = \frac{1}{2}(y - y^*)^2$$

output $y = w_{01}^o + w_{11}^o z_1 + w_{21}^o z_2$



 ∂L ∂w_{01}^o

Output layer

 $L = \frac{1}{2}(y - y^*)^2$ output $y = w_{01}^o + w_{11}^o z_1 + w_{21}^o z_2$



$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_{01}^o} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial w_{01}^o}$$

62

Output layer

 $L = \frac{1}{2}(y - y^*)^2$ output $y = w_{01}^o + w_{11}^o z_1 + w_{21}^o z_2$





Output layer

 $L = \frac{1}{2}(y - y^*)^2$ output $y = w_{01}^o + w_{11}^o z_1 + w_{21}^o z_2$





Output layer

$$L = \frac{1}{2}(y - y^*)^2$$

output $y = w_{01}^o + w_{11}^o z_1 + w_{21}^o z_2$



 ∂L ∂w_{11}^o

Output layer

 $L = \frac{1}{2}(y - y^*)^2$ output $y = w_{01}^o + w_{11}^o z_1 + w_{21}^o z_2$



$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_{11}^o} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial w_{11}^o}$$

66

Output layer

 $L = \frac{1}{2}(y - y^*)^2$ output $y = w_{01}^o + w_{11}^o z_1 + w_{21}^o z_2$





Output layer

 $L = \frac{1}{2}(y - y^*)^2$ output $y = w_{01}^o + w_{11}^o z_1 + w_{21}^o z_2$





Output layer

 $L = \frac{1}{2}(y - y^*)^2$ output $y = w_{01}^o + w_{11}^o z_1 + w_{21}^o z_2$





We have already computed this partial derivative for the previous case

Cache to speed up!

Hidden layer derivatives

output

$$L = \frac{1}{2}(y - y^{*})^{2}$$

$$w_{01}^{o}$$

$$w_{11}^{o}$$

$$w_{21}^{o}$$

$$z_{2} = \sigma(w_{02}^{h} + w_{12}^{h}x_{1} + w_{22}^{h}x_{2})$$

$$z_{1} = \sigma(w_{01}^{h} + w_{11}^{h}x_{1} + w_{21}^{h}x_{2})$$

$$w_{01}^{h}$$

$$w_{22}^{h}$$

$$x_{1}$$

$$x_{2}$$

Hidden layer derivatives



Hidden layer derivatives





Hidden layer

$$\mathbf{y} = w_{01}^o + w_{11}^o z_1 + w_{21}^o z_2$$


$$\mathbf{y} = w_{01}^o + w_{11}^o z_1 + w_{21}^o z_2$$



$$\mathbf{y} = w_{01}^o + w_{11}^o z_1 + w_{21}^o z_2$$



$$\mathbf{y} = w_{01}^o + w_{11}^o z_1 + w_{21}^o z_2$$

output

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_{22}^h} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial w_{22}^h}$$

$$= \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{22}^h} (w_{01}^o + w_{11}^o z_1 + w_{21}^o z_2)$$

$$= \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} w_{21}^o \frac{\partial z_2}{\partial w_{22}^h}$$

$$= \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} w_{21}^o \frac{\partial z_2}{\partial w_{22}^h}$$

$$z_2 = \sigma(w_{02}^h + w_{12}^h x_1 + w_{22}^h x_2)$$

output

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_{22}^h} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial w_{22}^h}$$

 $= \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{22}^h} (w_{01}^o + w_{11}^o z_1 + w_{21}^o z_2)$
 $= \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} w_{21}^o \frac{\partial z_2}{\partial w_{22}^h}$
 $= \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} w_{21}^o \frac{\partial z_2}{\partial w_{22}^h}$

$$z_{2} = \sigma(w_{02}^{h} + w_{12}^{h}x_{1} + w_{22}^{h}x_{2})$$
Call this s



$$\frac{\partial L}{w_{22}^{h}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial w_{22}^{h}}$$
$$= \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{22}^{h}} (w_{01}^{o} + w_{11}^{o} z_{1} + w_{21}^{o} z_{2})$$
$$= \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} w_{21}^{o} \frac{\partial z_{2}}{\partial w_{22}^{h}}$$

$$z_{2} = \sigma(w_{02}^{h} + w_{12}^{h}x_{1} + w_{22}^{h}x_{2})$$
Call this s



$$- = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \frac{\partial y}{\partial w_{22}^{h}}$$
$$= \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_{22}^{h}} (w_{01}^{o} + w_{11}^{o} z_{1} + w_{21}^{o} z_{2})$$
$$= \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} w_{21}^{o} \frac{\partial z_{2}}{\partial w_{22}^{h}}$$
$$= \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} w_{21}^{o} \frac{\partial z_{2}}{\partial s} \frac{\partial s}{\partial w_{22}^{h}}$$







Hidden layer

$$z_{2} = \sigma(w_{02}^{h} + w_{12}^{h}x_{1} + w_{22}^{h}x_{2})$$
Call this s



$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_{22}^h} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} w_{21}^o \frac{\partial z_2}{\partial s} \frac{\partial s}{\partial w_{22}^h}$$

Each of these partial derivatives is easy

Hidden layer

$$z_{2} = \sigma(w_{02}^{h} + w_{12}^{h}x_{1} + w_{22}^{h}x_{2})$$
Call this s



$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_{22}^h} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} w_{21}^o \frac{\partial z_2}{\partial s} \frac{\partial s}{\partial w_{22}^h}$$

Each of these partial derivatives is easy

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = y - y^*$$

Hidden layer





 $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_{22}^h} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} w_{21}^o \frac{\partial z_2}{\partial s} \frac{\partial s}{\partial w_{22}^h}$

Each of these partial derivatives is easy

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = y - y^*$$
$$\frac{\partial z_2}{\partial s} = z_2(1 - z_2)$$

Why? Because $z_2(s)$ is the logistic function we have already seen

Hidden layer





 $\frac{\partial L}{\partial w_{22}^h} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} w_{21}^o \frac{\partial z_2}{\partial s} \frac{\partial s}{\partial w_{22}^h}$

Each of these partial derivatives is easy

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial y} = y - y^*$$
$$\frac{\partial z_2}{\partial s} = z_2(1 - z_2)$$
$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial w_{22}^h} = x_2$$

Why? Because $z_2(s)$ is the logistic function we have already seen





The Backpropagation Algorithm

The same algorithm works for multiple layers, and more complicated architectures

Repeated application of the chain rule for partial derivatives

- First perform forward pass from inputs to the output
- Compute loss
- From the loss, proceed backwards to compute partial derivatives using the chain rule
- Cache partial derivatives as you compute them
 - Will be used for lower layers

Mechanizing learning

- Backpropagation gives you the gradient that will be used for gradient descent
 - SGD gives us a generic learning algorithm
 - Backpropagation is a generic method for computing partial derivatives
- A recursive algorithm that proceeds from the top of the network to the bottom
- Modern neural network libraries implement automatic differentiation using backpropagation
 - Allows easy exploration of network architectures
 - Don't have to keep deriving the gradients by hand each time

Stochastic gradient descent

Given a training set $S = \{(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i)\}, \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d$

- 1. Initialize parameters w
- 2. For epoch = 1 ... T:

Return w

3.

- 1. Shuffle the training set
- 2. For each training example $(\mathbf{x}_i, y_i) \in S$:
 - Treat this example as the entire dataset
 - Compute the gradient of the loss $\nabla L(NN(x_i, w), y_i)$ using backpropagation
 - Update: $\mathbf{w} \leftarrow \mathbf{w} \gamma_t \nabla L(NN(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{w}), y_i))$

 γ_t : learning rate, many tweaks possible

The objective is not convex.

Initialization can be important

 $\min_{w} \sum L(NN(x_i, w), y_i)$